

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/823,453	Applicant(s) BRINGLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Peter A. Hruskoci	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Eugene I. Shkurko.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 August 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and specification, and cancel claims 13 and 64, as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy. It is noted that the amendment to the specification has basis in original claim 24.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)